

**REMARKS**

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Office Action dated 14 May 2007. Responsive to the rejections and objections made in the Office Action, Claims 6, 7, 9 and 17 have been amended to clarify the language thereof. Claims 1 – 5, 10, 11, 16 and 20 were previously cancelled.

In the Official Action, Claims 6 – 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph because several limitations lacked proper antecedent basis. Further, Claims 6, 7, and 17 were objected to due to informalities therein.

Accordingly, Claims 6, 7, 9 and 17 have been amended to correct the informalities kindly identified by the Examiner and to ensure that all of the limitations have a proper antecedent basis. Therefore, it is now believed that the claims particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the Applicants regard as the invention. Further, it is believed that the Examiner's objections to the claims have been overcome.

MR3003-80  
Serial No.: 10/820,768  
Responsive to Official Action Dated 14 May 2007

For all the forgoing reasons, it is now believed that the present Application has been placed in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Best regards,  
For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE



David I. Klein  
Registration No. 33,253

Dated: 18 June 2007

Suite 101  
3458 Ellicott Center Drive  
Ellicott City, MD 21043  
410-465-6678  
**Customer No. 04586**